STUDIES ON THE INTRASPECIFIC VARIATIONS IN TRITHEMIS FESTIVA (RAMBUR) (ODONATA: LIBELLULIDAE)'

MAHABIR PRASAD² AND ARUN KUMAR³ (With six text-figures)

INTRODUCTION

Like many other insects, variations within the species is common in order Odonata. These variation have been briefly studied and reported from time to time in different species of dragonflies. Asahina (1952-53), while studying the Odonata material collected from Nepal by Japanese Himalayan expedition has noted the variations within the different species, similarly Singh & Baijal (1954) in Western Himalaya dragonflies; Baijal & Agarwal (1955) in Madhva Pradesh dragonflies: Sahni (1965a, 1965b) in the Odonata of Kumaon hills; Ravchaudhari et al. and Lahiri et al. (1970) in Brachythemis contaminata (Fabricius), Diplacodes trivialis (Rambur) and Crocothemis servilia servilia (Drury); Varshney & Guha (1972) in Rhyothemis variegata variegata (Linn.); Lahiri & Mitra (1972) in Acanthagyna dravida (Lief.); Kumar & Prasad (1976) in Orthetrum garhwalicum Singh & Baijal; Singh & Prasad (1976, 1977) and Prasad & Singh (1976, 1977) in Doon Valley and Corbett National Park dragonflies; Prasad (1976a, 1976b and in press) in Western

Himalaya and Eastern Uttar Pradesh Odonata; Bose & Mitra (1977) in Rajasthan dragonflies and Lahiri (1977) in Manipur dragonflies studied and have made brief remarks on intraspecific variations. However, these records are only occasional variations in small number of specimens, no attempt has so far been made for detailed biometrical study of intraspecific variations in Indian dragonflies. During the course of Odonata collection over many years in Western Himalaya, we noted distinct pattern of intraspecific variation in Trithemis festiva (Rambur), a species fairly common throughout lesser Himalayan range. We noticed the occurrence of two distinct group of specimens large sized and small sized. Kiauta (1969) also reported the occurrence of small size specimen (9) from Nepal and states that "its abdominal length amounts scarcely to 21 mm. and that of the hind wing to 27 mm." Keeping the above in view we made a detailed study of intraspecific variations in Trithemis festiva (Rambur) on the basis of material collected from various localities in Western Himalaya. The species is widely and commonly distributed throughout Indian sub-continent (Kiauta 1969). Adults are common on the wing from March-April to November; larvae occur in slow running marshy streams and near the weedy banks of rivers (Kumar 1972).

¹ Accepted May 1978.

² Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta-700012.

⁵ Zoological Survey of India. Northern Regional Station. Dehra Dun-248 001.



Figs. 1-3. Male accessory genital structures of large sized specimens of *Trithemis festiva* (Rambur): 1. Ventral view. 2. Lateral view. 3. Enlarged view of Prophallus and Vesicula spermalis.

Figs. 4-6. Male accessory genital structures of small sized specimens of *Trithemis festiva* (Rambur): 4. Ventral view. 5. Lateral view, 6. Enlarged view of Prophallus and Vesicula spermalis.

OBSERVATIONS

Male: (Refer Tables II, III & VI for various measurements)

Both large and small dragonflies, present at same localities, are violaceous black in colour. Head small in size, eyes contiguous, labium blackish brown in large size specimens while small size specimens it is black, some times yellowish brown. Labrum and mandibles black, anteclypeus black to yellowish brown, postclypeus dark olivacious brown to black, occiput dark brown to black. Eyes brown above and black beneath.

Prothorax dark blue to black, small posterior lobe is black, not fringed with hairs. Thorax narrow, black and coated with thin purplish pruinecence. Legs, long, black but hind femora with small closely set spines, and with a single set of long spines present on its distal end.

Wing hyaline, a dark opaque brown marking present only in hind wing of large size specimens, but in small size it is found both in fore and hind wings. The brown marking in the hind wing extends upto the subcosta, cubital space, beyond the cubital nervure and posteriorly beyond the membrane. In fore wing of small size specimens it extends upto the cubital space and posterior border of the wing. Reticulation close, and are situated between the 1st and 2nd antenodal nervurer, cubital nervure one, pterostigma black and cover 2 cells. Node nearer to pterostigma than base in both wings. Nodal index varies from $\frac{6-9\frac{1}{2}}{8-7} \quad \frac{9\frac{1}{2}-5}{7-8} \quad \text{to} \quad \frac{9-11\frac{1}{2}}{10-8} \frac{12\frac{1}{2}-8}{8-9}$ in large sized specimens, while $\frac{6-10\frac{1}{2}}{8-7} \frac{10\frac{1}{2}-6}{8-7}$ 9-113 113-9 to 8-8 9-11 in small sized specimens. Discoidal cell in the fore wing narrow, its costal side just half

of proximal side and traversed only once. Subtrigone 3-celled, sector of arc with a large fusion at its origin. Distal antenodal nervures incomplete, discoidal field begins with 3 rows of cells and is convergent at wing border. In hind wing discoidal cell entire, CUII arising from the posterior angle of discoidal cell. Discoidal field begins with 2 rows of cells. 2 rows of cells present in between IRIII & RSPL. Membrane dark brown and triangular in shape.

Abdomen 20.0-25.5 mm in length, black; anal appendages black.

Female : (Refer Tables IV, V & VI for various body measurements)

Labium yellowish-brown, its middle lobe black, labrum vellow and sides black. Ante and postclypeus yellow, face and frons yellowish brown, but some portion of upper sides of frons metallic blue. Upper portion of eyes brown and lower portion black, occiput black. Prothorax black, thorax yellow and marked with black, mid-dorsal carinal suture present upto the anterior sinus. Hamular stripe very thick, an inverted Y-shaped stripe present on the mesepimeron. The posterio-lateral suture short but oblique stripe ends across the metapimeron. Lower portion of the thorax yellow and marked with black stripe. Legs black, inner side of the anterior femora yellow, coxae and trochanter vellow.

Wings similar to the male, except the base of the hind wing which is marked with opaque brown marking upto the costal area, costal space and upto the cubital nervures and near the membrane. Nodal index varies from

$$\frac{8 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{8 - 7} \left| \frac{11\frac{1}{2} - 7}{8 - 9} \right| \text{ to } \frac{9 - 12\frac{1}{2}}{9 - 8} \left| \frac{10\frac{1}{2} - 8}{8 - 9} \right|$$

Abdomen (20-23 mm in length) black and marked by yellow, dorsally, laterally and ventrally but its last three segments (8th, 9th and 10th) are totally black on the dorsum, marked And appendages black, long and acutely with yellow and the lateral and ventral sides. pointed at the tips.

TABLE I

Showing the frequency of specimens with both small and large sized specimens of *Trithemis festiva* (Rambur) from different localities in Western Himalaya.

No. Male Female Male Female 1. Asarori Dehra Dun 9 2 - - 2. Barkot " 4 - - - 3. Dehra Dun " 1 - - - 4. Donga " 15 6 2 1 5. Herbertpur " 7 - - 6. Jaintanwala " 9 3 3 - - 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 - - 10. Raipur " 12 2 4 - - 11. Rishikesh " 4 - - - - 12. Sahastra Chera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri " 10 - - 14.	SI.	Locality	District	Large si	zed specimens	Small siz	ed specimens
1. Asarori Dehra Dun 9 2 2. Barkot " 4 3. Dehra Dun " 1 4. Donga " 15 6 2 1 5. Herbertpur " 7 6. Jaintanwala " 9 3 3 7. Ihajra " 2 8. Mianwala " 2 3 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Rajpur " 12 2 4 1 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sahastra Obreta " 60 3 9 2 1 13. Bozar (Corbett " 10	No.			Male	Female	Male	Fcmale
2. Barkot " 4 3. Dehra Dun " 1 4. Donga " 15 6 2 1 5. Herbertpur " 7 6. Jaintanvala " 9 3 3 7. Jhajra " 2 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Raipur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sabastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 10 15. Pauri " 16 Damoli 8 <td< td=""><td>1.</td><td>Asarori</td><td>Dehra Dun</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>	1.	Asarori	Dehra Dun	9	2	-	
3. Dehra Dun " 1 4. Donga " 15 6 2 1 5. Herbertpur " 7 6. Jaintanwala " 9 3 3 7. Jhajra " 2 8. Mianwala " 2 3 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Rajpur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sabastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 1 - 14. Deingag Tchri Garhwal 10 - 15. Pauri ranital 20	2.	Barkot	,,	4			·
4. Donga " 15 6 2 1 5. Herbertpur " 7 6. Jaintanwala " 9 3 3 7. Jhajra " 2 8. Mianwala " 2 3 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 9. Motichur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sahastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 2 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 10 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal	3.	Dehra Dun	27	1			
5. Herbertpur " 7 6. Jaintanwala " 9 3 3 7. Jhajra " 2 8. Mianwala " 2 3 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Raipur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sahastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 15. Pauri " 10 16. Deoprayag Tch	4.	Donga	>>	15	6	2	1
6. Jaintanwala " 9 3 3 7. Jhajra " 2 8. Mianwala " 2 3 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Raipur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sakastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 0 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 15. Pauri " 10 15. Pauri Chamoli 8 16. Deoprayag Tehri Garhwal	5.	Herbertpur	"	7	N-1078		
7. Jhajra "," - 2 8. Mianwala "," 2 3 9. Motichur "," 12 2 4 10. Rajpur "," 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh "," 4 12. Sahastra Dhera "," 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 15. Pauri "," 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 10 18. Chamoli Chamoli 8 19. Uttar Kashi 3 1 19. Utar Kashi 3 1 20. Bij Rani (Corbett<	6.	Jaintanwala	22	9	3	3	
8. Mianwala " 2 3 9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Raipur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sahastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 1 3 1 1 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 10 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10 18. Chamoli 8 18. Chamoli " 3 1 19. Uttar Kashi Ut	7.	Jhajra	,,		2		
9. Motichur " 12 2 4 10. Rajpur " 23 1 4 3 11. Rishkesh " 4 12. Sahastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal - - - - 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 National Park) " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 10 - - - 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10 - - - - 18. Chamoli 8 -	8.	Mianwala .	>>	2	3	747949	
10. Rajpur "," 23 1 4 3 11. Rishikesh "," 4 12. Sabastra Dhera "," 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 14. Dhikala (Corbett "," 6 1 3 1 National Park) Garhwal 2 15. Pauri "," 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 10 17. Tehri Garhwal 20 4 3 18. Chamoli Kanoli 3 1 19. Utar Kashi 3 1 21. Haldwani "," 3 <t< td=""><td>9.</td><td>Motichur</td><td>"</td><td>12</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td></td></t<>	9.	Motichur	"	12	2	4	
11. Rishikesh " 4 12. Sabastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxr (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 1 3 1 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 National Park) " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 2 17. Tehri Thi Garhwal 10 18. Chamoli Chamoli 8 19. Uttar Kashi Uttar Kashi 3 1 20. Bij Rani (Corbett National Park) <td>10.</td> <td>Rajpur</td> <td>"</td> <td>23</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>3</td>	10.	Rajpur	"	23	1	4	3
12. Sahastra Dhera " 60 3 9 2 13. Boxar (Corbett Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal " 6 1 3 1 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 National Park) " 10 3 2 1 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 2 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10 18. Chamoli R 19. Uttar Kashi Uttar Kashi 3 1	11.	Rishikesh	,,	4			
13. National Park) Pauri 8 2 4 1 National Park) Garhwal 6 1 3 1 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 National Park) " 10 3 2 1 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 10 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10 18. Chamoli Chamoli 8 19. Uttar Kashi 3 1 National Park) " 3 21. Haldwani "," 3 23. Sultan (Corbett "," 15 4 23. Sultan (Corbett "," 15 1 <td>12.</td> <td>Sahastra Dhera</td> <td>,,</td> <td>60</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td>	12.	Sahastra Dhera	,,	60	3	9	2
National Park) Garhwal 14. Dhikala (Corbett " 6 1 3 1 National Park) " 10 3 2 1 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 2	13.	Boxar (Corbett	Pauri	8	2	4	- 1
14. Dhikala (Corbett National Park) " 6 1 3 1 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 2 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10 18. Chamoli Chamoli 8 19. Uttar Kashi Uttar Kashi 3 1 20. Bij Rani (Corbett Nainital 20 4 3 21. Haldwani " 3 21. Haldwani " 3 22. Kathgodam " 4 1 1		National Park)	Garhwal				-
National Park) 10 3 2 1 15. Pauri " 10 3 2 1 16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 2 - - - 17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10 - - - - 18. Chamoli Chamoli 8 - - - - 19. Uttar Kashi 3 1 -	14.	Dhikala (Corbett	,,	6	1	3	1
15. Pauri ,, and the set of the set o		National Park)					
16. Deoprayag Tchri Garhwal 2	15.	Pauri	>>	10	3	2	1
17. Tehri Tehri Garhwal 10	16.	Deoprayag	Tchri Garhwal	2			
18. Chamoli 8 19. Utar Kashi 3 1 19. Utar Kashi 3 1 20. Bij Rani (Corbett Nainital 20 4 3 National Park) 3 21. Haldwani 3	17.	Tehri	Tehri Garhwal	10			
19. Uttar Kashi Uttar Kashi 3 1 20. Bij Rani (Corbett Nainital 20 4 3 National Park) 21. Haldwani 21. Haldwani 21. Haldwani </td <td>18.</td> <td>Chamoli</td> <td>Chamoli</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	18.	Chamoli	Chamoli	8			
20. Bij Rani (Corbett National Park) Nainital 20 4 3 $-$ 21. Haldwani ,, 3 $ -$ 22. Kathgodam ,, 4 1 1 $-$ 23. Sultan (Corbett ,, 15 $-$ 4 $-$ 23. Sultan (Corbett ,, 15 $-$ 4 $-$ 24. Dhobighat Almora 2 $ -$ (Ranikhet) 2 $ -$ 25. Dwarson ,, 2 $ -$ 26. Garli Kangra $ -$ 28. Jawalamukhi $$ 12 4 $ -$ 28. Jawalamukhi $$ 12 4 $ -$ 29. Kangra , 12 4 $ -$ 31. Maranda , $ -$	19.	Uttar Kashi	Uttar Kashi	3	1		
National Park) 21. Haldwani "," 3 22. Kathgodam "," 4 1 1 23. Sultan (Corbett "," 15 4 National Park) 2 4 24. Dhobighat Almora 2 (Ranikhet) 2 1 25. Dwarson "," 2 1 26. Garli Kangra 1 28. Jawalamukhi 12 4 28. Jawalamukhi 12 4 29. Kangra "," 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur "," 4 3 31. Maranda "," 15 6 2	20.	Bij Rani (Corbett	Nainital	20	4	3	
21. Haldwani 3 22. Kathgodam 4 1 1 23. Sultan (Corbett 15 4 National Park) 24. Dhobighat Almora 2 25. Dwarson 2 26. Garli Kangra 27. Jhankaur <		National Park)					
22. Kathgodam " 4 1 1 23. Sultan (Corbett " 15 4 National Park) 4 24. Dhobighat Almora 2 (Ranikhet) 1 1 25. Dwarson " 2 1 1 26. Garli Kangra 1 12 1 27. Jhankaur " 12 4 2 12 24 2 2 12 30. Mataur " 32. 32. Anraha 2 32. 32. 15 6 2 32.	21.	Haldwani	.,	3			
23. Sultan (Corbett ,, 15	22.	Kathgodam	**	4	1	1	
National Park) 24. Dhobighat Almora 2 (Ranikhet) - - 25. Dwarson ,, 2 1 26. Garli Kangra 1 27. Jhankaur ,, 2 1 28. Jawalamukbi 12 4 28. Jawalamukbi 12 4 29. Kangra ,, 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur ,, 2 31. Maranda ,, 15 6 2	23.	Sultan (Corbett	;,	15		4	
24. Dhobighat Almora 2 - - (Ranikhet)		National Park)					
(Ranikhet) 25. Dwarson "," 2 1 26. Garli Kangra 1 2 27. Jhankaur "," 2 1 28. Jawalamukhi 12 4 29. Kangra "," 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur "," 4 3 31. Maranda "," 15 6 2	24.	Dhobighat	Almora	2			
25. Dwarson " 2 - 1 26. Garli Kangra - - 1 1 27. Jhankaur " - 2 - 1 28. Jawalamukhi 12 4 - 29. Kangra " 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur " 4 3 - 31. Maranda " 15 6 2		(Ranikhet)					
26. Garli Kangra 1 27. Jhankaur ,, 2 28. Jawahmukhi 12 4 29. Kangra ,, 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur ,, 4 3 31. Maranda ,, 15 6 2	25.	Dwarson	,,	2		1	
27. Jhankaur " - 2 - ' 28. Jawalamukhi " 12 4 - 29. Kangra " 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur " 4 3 - - 31. Maranda " - 2 - 32. Ranital " 15 6 2 -	26.	Garli	Kangra				1
28. Jawalamukhi 12 4 29. Kangra 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur 4 3 31. Maranda 2 32. Ranital 15 6 2	27.	Jhankaur			2		·
29. Kangra "," 2 4 4 2 30. Mataur "," 4 3 31. Maranda "," 2 32. Ranital "," 15 6 2	28.	Jawalamukhi		12	4		
30. Mataur "," 4 3 31. Maranda "," 2 32. Ranital "," 15 6 2	29.	Kangra	**	2	4	4	2
31. Maranda " — 2 — 2 — 32. Ranital " 15 6 2 — 2 — 2 — 2 … 31. 15 6 2 … 32. … 15 6 2 … … 15 6 2 … … 15 10 …<	30.	Mataur	22	4	3		
32. Ranital	31.	Maranda			—	2	
	32.	Ranital	>>	15	6	2	

	festiva
	Trithemis
Η	QF.
TABLE	SPECIMENS
	SIZED
	LARGE
	OF
	SUREMENTS

s' s'	No. of spe- cimens	Abdomen with anal appendage	Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Lengti een base	n in betw- e to node	Lengun tween nu pterostig	un be- ode to zma	Maximum width of hind	Pteros- tigma
					Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Fore Wing	Hind Wing	wing	
-	48	23.5 mm	32.5 mm	31.5 mm	17.00 mm	13.5 mm	11.00 mm	12.5 mm	11.00 mm	3.00 mm
ci	107	23.00 mm	31.5 mm	30.5 mm	16.5 mm	13.5 mm	10.5 mm	11.5 mm	10.00 mm	3.00 mm
e.	94 mm	23.5 mm	32.00 mm	31.00 mm	17.00 mm	14.00 mm	10.5 mm	12.5 mm	10.5 mm	2.5 mm
4	23 mm	23.00 mm	32.00 mm	31.00 mm	17.00 mm	14.00 mm	11.00 mm	12.5 mm	11.00 mm	3.00 mm
No.	No. of sp- ecimens	Abdomen with anal appendage	Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Leng een b	th in betw- ase to node	Lengt ween pteros	h in bet- node to stigma	Maximum width of hind	Pteros- tigma
					Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Fore Wing	Hind Wing	wing	
_:	80	21.5 mm	28.5 mm	27.00 mm	15.5 mm	12.5 mm	9.00 mm	11.00 mm	9.00 mm	3.00 mm
ci	14	21.00 mm	28.5 mm	27.5 mm	15.5 mm	12.5 mm	9.00 mm	10.5 mm	9.00 mm	2.5 mm
3.	61	21.5 mm	29.00 mm	28.5 mm	15.5 mm	13.00 mm	9.00 mm	11.00 mm	9.5 mm	3.00 mm
4	3	22.00 mm	29.5 mm	29.00 mm	16.00 mm	13.00 mm	9.00 mm	11.00 mm	9.5 mm	2.5 mm
5.	9	20.00 mm	28.00 mm	26.00 mm	14.5 mm	13.00 mm	9.00 mm	10.5 mm	9.5 mm	<u>2.5 mm</u>
6.	1	20.5 mm	28.5 mm	27.5 mm	15.5 mm	12.00 mm	9.00 mm	10.5 mm	9.5 mm	2.5 mm

JOURNAL, BOMBAY NATURAL HIST. SOCIETY, Vol. 77

2.5 mm 3.00 mm

9.00 mm

10.5 mm

9.00 mm 9.5 mm

12.00 mm 12.5 mm

14.5 mm 14.5 mm

21.00 mm 28.00 mm 27.00 mm 29.00 mm 28.00 mm

20.5 mm

3 Ξ

. .

7.

9.5 mm

11.00 mm

242

N	
TABLE	

~
íщ
- 21
2
100
¥
2
=
2
2
a
.2
12
3
3
Ś
in.
2
2
Ξ
1
Г
S.
<u>6</u>
Ξ.
≤.
Ü
ų.
10
0
<u><u></u></u>
8
s
(r)
3
ž,
<.
-
12
0
-
2
5
ω.
Ξ.
Ξ.
2
2
S
E
Ę
~
2
6
0
£

SI. No.	No. of sp- ecimens	Abdomen with anal	Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Lengt cen l	h in betw- base to node	Lengtl ween	h in bet- node to	Maximum width of	Pteros- tigma
					Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Pteros Fore Wing	Hind Wing	, wing	
1.	×	22.5 mm	31.00 mm	30.00 mm	16.5 mm	14.00 mm	9.5 mm	11.5 mm	10.00 mm	3.00 mm
ci	6	22.5 mm	31.00 mm	30.00 mm	16.5 mm	13.5 mm	9.5 mm	11.00 mm	10.5 mm	3.00 mm
3.	12	22.5 mm	31.00 mm	30.00 mm	17.00 mm	13.5 mm	9.5 mm	12.00 mm	10.5 mm	3.00 mm
4.	7	23.00 mm	31.00 mm	30.00 mm	17.00 mm	13.00 mm	9.5 mm	11.5 mm	10.5 mm	3.00 mm
5.	18	22.5 mm	31.00 mm	29.5 mm	17.00 mm	13.00 mm	9.5 mm	11.5 mm	10.5 mm	3.00 mm
6.	-	23.00 mm	32.00 mm	31.00 mm	16.5 mm	13.00 mm	10.00 mm	12.00 mm	10.5 mm	3.00 mm
SI. No.	No. of sp- ecimen	Abdomen with anal appendages	Fore wing	Hind wing	Lengtl cen ba	h in betw- tse to node	Length ween	1 in bet- 1 node to 1 node to	Maximum width of hind	Pteros- tigma
					Fore Wing	Hind Wing	Fore Wing	Hind Wing	wing	
-	9	20.5 mm	29.00 mm	28.00 mm	15.5 mm	12.00 mm	9.00 mm	10.5 mm	9.5 mm	3.00 mm
ci	5	20.5 mm	29.5 mm	28.00 mm	15.00 mm	12.5 mm	9.00 mm	10.5 mm	9.5 mm	3.00 mm

INTRASPECIFIC VARIATIONS IN TRITHEMIS FESTIVA (RAMBUR)

3.00 mm 3.00 mm

9.5 mm 9.5 mm

10.00 mm 10.00 mm

8.5 mm 8.5 mm

12.5 mm

14.5 mm

26.5 mm

28.00 mm

28.00 mm 27.00 mm 15.00 mm 12.00 mm

20.00 mm 20.00 mm

÷ ---

ri f

Large Sized	Small Sized
$\frac{6-9\frac{1}{2}}{8-7} \left \begin{array}{c} 9\frac{1}{2}-5 \\ 7-8 \end{array} \right , \frac{7-10\frac{1}{2}}{9-8} \left \begin{array}{c} 10\frac{1}{2}-7 \\ 8-8 \end{array} \right .$	$\frac{6 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{8 - 7} \begin{vmatrix} 10\frac{1}{2} - 6 \\ 8 - 7 \end{vmatrix}, \frac{7 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{8 - 8} \begin{vmatrix} 10\frac{1}{2} - 8 \\ 7 - 8 \end{vmatrix},$
$\frac{8-9\frac{1}{2}}{10-7} \frac{9\frac{1}{2}-7}{7-7} , \frac{7-11\frac{1}{2}}{8-8} \frac{11\frac{1}{2}-7}{7-8} ,$	$\frac{7-12\frac{1}{2} 11\frac{1}{2}-8}{9-8} , \frac{7-10\frac{1}{8} 10\frac{1}{2}-7}{8-8} ,$
$\frac{8 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{10 - 7} \left \frac{10\frac{1}{2} - 9}{8 - 10} \right , \frac{9 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{9 - 7} \left \frac{9\frac{1}{2} - 8}{7 - 9} \right ,$	$\frac{8 \cdot 10\frac{1}{2}}{8 \cdot 7} \frac{11\frac{1}{2} \cdot 7}{8 \cdot 9} , \frac{8 \cdot 10\frac{1}{2}}{8 \cdot 7} \frac{11\frac{1}{2} \cdot 8}{7 \cdot 8} ,$
$\frac{9 - 12\frac{1}{2}}{9 - 8} \frac{10\frac{1}{2} - 8}{8 - 9} , \frac{8 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{8 - 8} \frac{10\frac{1}{2} - 8}{7 - 9} ,$	$\frac{8 - 10\frac{1}{2}}{9 - 8} \frac{11\frac{1}{2} - 8}{8 - 10} , \frac{8 - 11\frac{1}{2}}{10 - 7} \frac{11\frac{1}{2} - 9}{7 - 10} ,$
$\frac{9-11\frac{1}{2}}{9-8} \left \frac{11\frac{1}{2}-9}{8-10} \right , \frac{9-11\frac{1}{2}}{10-8} \left \frac{12\frac{1}{2}-8}{8-9} \right .$	$\frac{9-11\frac{1}{2}}{8-8} \frac{11\frac{1}{2}-9}{9-11}$

TABLE VI

SHOWING VARIATION IN NODAL INDEX WITHIN THE LARGE AND SMALL SIZED SPECIMENS OF Trithemis festiva (RAMBUR)

TABLE VII

Showing variation in body marking of both large and small sized *Trithemis festiva* (RAMBUR) COMPARED WITH THE PUBLISHED DESCRIPTION OF THE SPECIES

SI. No.	Different parts of body	Large sized Specimen	Small sized specimen	Published descrip- tion of the species
1.	Labium	Blackish brown	Black, sometimes yellowish brown Yellowish brown	Black
2.	Anteclypeus	Black	Black	Black
3.	Postclypeus	Dark olivaceous	Black	Dark olivaceous brown
4.	Occiput	Dark brown	Black	Black
5.	Prothorax	Dark blue	Some times a brown	Black
6.	Wing marking in male	Brown marking at the base of fore wing in male absent	marking at the base of fore wing in male present	Brown marking at the base of fore wing in male absent
7.	Nodal index	$\frac{6-9\frac{1}{2}}{8-7} \left \begin{array}{c} 9\frac{1}{2}-5, \\ \overline{7-8} \end{array} \right $	$\frac{6-10\frac{1}{2}}{8-7} \begin{vmatrix} 10\frac{1}{2}-6, \\ \hline 8-7 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{6}{8-7}$	$\frac{3-9\frac{1}{2}}{3-8} \frac{10\frac{1}{2}-7}{7-8} = \frac{9-11\frac{1}{2}}{10-8} \frac{11\frac{1}{2}-9}{8-10}$
		$\frac{9-12\frac{1}{2}}{9-8} \frac{10\frac{1}{2}-8}{8-9}$	$\frac{8 \cdot 10\frac{1}{2}}{9 \cdot 8} \frac{11\frac{1}{2} \cdot 8}{8 \cdot 10}$	

DISCUSSION

Both large and small sized specimens of Trithemis festiva occur at same localities in Western Himalaya, The abdomen (along with anal appendages) of 272 examples of large sized male specimens varies from 23.00 mm to 23.5 mm, forewing 31.5 mm to 32.5 mm, hindwing 30.5 mm to 31.5 mm and pterostigma 2.5 mm to 3.00 mm. For detail of the other body measurements in male refer to Table II, 52 examples of large sized female specimens were studied, their abdomen (alongwith anal appendages) varies from 22.5 mm to 23.00 mm, forewing 31.00 mm to 32.00 mm, hindwing 29.5 mm to 31.00 mm, pterostigma 3.00 mm (for other body measurements kindly refer Table IV). Only 48 male specimens of small size were studied, and their abdomen (along with anal appendages) varies in between 20.00 mm to 22.00 mm, forewing 28.00 mm to 29.5 mm, hindwing 26.00 mm to 29.00 mm, pterostigma 2.5 mm to 3.00 mm. The 12 female specimens of small size have their abdomen (alongwith anal appendages) 20.00 mm to 20.5 mm, forewing 28.00 mm to 29.5 mm, hindwing 26.5 mm to 28.00 mm and

ASAHINA, S. (1952-1953): Fauna and flora of Nepal Himalaya Scientific results of the Japanese expeditions to Nepal Himalaya 1952-1953, Edited by H. Kihara, Fauna and flora Res. Soc. Kyoto, 291-300. (In Japanese)

BAIJAL, H. N. AND AGARWAL, J. P. (1955): Opuscula Libellulogica. Agra Univ. J. Res. (Sci.). 4 (2): 453-470.

BOSE, G. AND MITRA, T. R. (1977): The Odonata fauna of Rajasthan. *Rec. zool. Surv. India, 71* (1-4): 1-11.

FRASER, F. C. (1936): The Fauna of British India including Ceylon and Burma (Odonata) 3: XI-461. Taylor and Francis, Ltd., London.

pterostigma 3.00 mm (For other body measurements in male and female refer Tables III and V).

The large and small sized *Trithemis festiva* male and female are quite distinct from each other and can be easily separated from each other on the basis of their body measurements [the two type of specimens also have some differences in body colour and nodal index (refer Tables VI & VII)]. However, it is noticeable that large and small sized specimens are very close and similar to each other in their wing venation, body colour and male accessory genital structures (refer figs. 1-6). Thus the variations found in large and small sized male and female in their body measurements, wing venation, body colour are treated as intraspecific variations in *Trithemis festiva*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to the Director, Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta, for permission to carry out the present study. Our thanks are also due to Dr. B. S. Lamba, Deputy Director, Northern Regional Station, Zoological Survey of India, Dehra Dun, for various facilities.

REFERENCES

KIAUTA, B. (1969): Scientific results of the Yugoslav 1969 Himalaya expedition. *Bioloski vestinik*, 20: 109-119.

KUMAR, A. (1972): Studies on the life history of *Trithemis festiva* (Rambur, 1842) (Odonata: Libellulidae). *Odonatologica*, 1 (2): 103-112.

KUMAR, A. AND PRASAD, M. (1976): On the occurrence of Orthetrum garhwalicum Singh & Baijal (Odonata: Libellulidae) in Kinnaur (Western Himalaya: Himachal Pradesh). Newsl zool. Surv. India, 2 (3): 94.

LAHIRI, A. R., MITRA, T. R. AND RAYCHAUDHURI, D. N. (1970): A note on *Crocothemis servilia servilia* (Drury) (Odonata: Libellulidae: Sympetrinae), Sci. Cult., 36: 334.

AND MITRA, T. R. (1972): A note on Acanthagyna dravida (Lieftinck) (Insecta: Odonata: Aeshnidae). J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc., 69 (2): 438-439.

(1977): On a collection of Odonata from Manipur with new records. *Rec. zool. Surv. India*, 72 (1-4): 409-418.

MITRA, T. R. (1975): A review of Indian species of Agriocnemis Selys (Insecta: Odonata: Zygoptera: Coenagrionidae) with a note on Agriocnemis nainitalensis Sahni, Dr. B. S. Chauhan Comm. Vol., 403-409.

PRASAD, M. (1976a): On the occurrence of Urothemis signata signata (Rambur) (Odonata: Macrodiplactidae) from Eastern Uttar Pradesh, India. Newsl. zool. Surv. India, 2 (4): 142.

(1976b): Odonata of district Kangra (Himachal Pradesh). Rec. zool. Surv. India 71 (1-4): 105-119.

------ (in press): Studies on the Odonata of Garhwal Hills. Ind. J. Ent.

------ AND SINGH, A. (1976): Odonata of Doon Valley 2. Zygoptera. Rec. zool. Surv. India, 70 (1-4): 121-131.

RAYCHAUDHURY, D. N., LAHIRI, A. R. AND MITRA, T. R. (1969): A note on the distal antenodal nervure of *Brachythemis contaminata* (Fabricius) and *Diplacodes trivialis* (Rambur) (Insecta: Odonata: Libellulidae). Sci. Cult., 35: 220.

SAHNI, D. N. (1965a): Studies on the Odonata (Zygoptera) of Nainital. Ind. J. Ent., 27: 205-216.

(1965b): Studies on the Odonata (Anisoptera) of Nainital. Ind. J. Ent., 27: 277-289. SINGH, A. AND PRASAD, M. (1976): Odonata of

Doon Valley I. Anisoptera. Rec. zool. Surv. India, 70 (1-4): 21-38.

(1977): Odonata (Insecta) of Corbett National Park (Uttar Pradesh, India). J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 73 (2) [1976]: 419-421.

SINGH, S. AND BAIJAL, H. N. (1954): Entomological Survey of the Himalaya II—on a collection of Odonata. Agra Univ. J. Res. (Sci.), 3 (2): 385-400.

VARSHNEY, R. K. AND GUHA, M. (1972): A note on the wing marking of dragonfly *Rhyothemis varie*gata variegata (L.) (Odonata: Libellulidae). *Patna* Univ. J., 27: 1-4.